

High incidence of nausea during initial and repeated courses of intravenous chemotherapy in patients receiving guideline consistent anti-emetic prophylaxes – a prospective, observational, real-world study.

¹ The Medical Oncology Centre of Rosebank, Johannesburg, South Afri ca; ² Department of Immunology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Introduction

- Chemotherapy-induced nausea is now recognized as a specific clinical problem which is often not optimally treated ⁽¹⁾. It remains the most important unmet medical need regarding chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) ⁽⁶⁾.
- For many years, CINV has been regarded as a single entity, however, there is a concern that vomiting have been the initial focus of anti-emetic research and nausea was perceived as a secondary endpoint ⁽²⁾.
- As one of the most serious treatment side effects in patients with cancer, CINV can significantly compromises patients' quality of life, but due to evidence-based research and guideline **Incidence of nausea** The incidence of nausea of the entire population was significantly higher than vomiting during consistent CINV prophylaxis (GCCP), chemotherapy-induced vomiting can be prevented in the all cycles of treatment. majority of patients ^(3, 4).
- Despite this, patients still experience nausea and its burden is often underestimated by the healthcare professionals ^(3, 5).

Materials & Methods

- This prospective, observational study included ninety-five patients receiving intravenous chemotherapy at a private oncology clinic.
- All subjects signed an informed consent document before commencing with the study.
- Chemo-naïve patients, as well as patients who have received prior chemotherapy, were allowed to take part. This broad inclusion of patients gave a review of 'real-life' experiences of patients.
- The study used visual analogue scales (VAS) and patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) to get data to resemble patients' experience as accurately as possible, and to ensure data was comparable between patients (8).
- This study focused on the incidence, intensity and duration of nausea in particular. The exact same format for the MASCC anti-emetic tool was used, but data was collected on a more frequent basis. By collecting the data in this way, it was expected that results seen, be as close to the real-life experience as possible.
- Patients were issued with standard antiemetic prophylactic therapy, and rescue medication was issued as per CINV guidelines (7,9).

Results

One hundred subjects were enrolled over a seven-month period, of which 95 subjects' diaries were evaluable. The population consisted of 68 females (71.6%) and 27 males (28.4%). The median age of the group was 57 (ranging from 24 to 85) with a mean of age 57 years old. The emetogenicity of the chemotherapy received by the patients was 25 LEC patients (26.3%), 24 MEC (25.3%) patients and 46 HEC (48.4%) patients. The role of age, gender and motion sickness showed particular significance in the incidence of nausea, independently of the level of emetogenicity of chemotherapy received.

Teresa Smit¹, Ronwyn Van Eeden¹, Bernardo Leon Rapoport^{1,2}

Table 1. The incidence, intensity, duration and time to first event of nausea during cycle 1, 2 and 3.

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3
Incidence of nausea (overall phase) %	57,9	50,6	45,6
Time to first event of nausea (hours)	28,52	30,66	28,21
Intensity of nausea (VAS Score out of 10)	5,88	5,97	5,85
Intermittent nausea - Mean duration per episode (hours)	4,07	3,28	3,83
% Patients with Continuous Nausea	31,6	21,8	24,1

Figure 1. The incidence of nausea vs the incidence of vomiting experienced by patients during cycle 1, 2 and 3.



Continuous nausea vs intermittent nausea

Nausea was continuous in 25% of the patients during all 3 cycles.

Figure 3. Proportion of patients with continuous nausea during treatment.

% Patients with continuous nausea (25%)

Duration and intensity of nausea

For patients with documented intermittent nausea, the mean duration was 3.8 hours. The median maximum intensity of nausea was 6 (range 1-10) for all three cycles. Figure 4. Time to first incident of nausea experienced by patients during cycle 1, 2 and 3.

Risk factors impacting nausea

Significant risk factors impacting nausea included age and history of motion sickness.

Figure 5. Age impacting the incidence of nausea during cycle 1, 2 and 3.

The Medical Oncology Centre of Rosebank Personalised Cancer Care

UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

Figure 6. A history of motion sickness impacting the incidence of nausea during cycle 1, 2 and 3.

Figure 7. Gender impacting the incidence of nausea during cycle 1, 2 and 3.

Conclusions

Despite the usage of guidelines consistent antiemetic prophylaxis, chemotherapy induced nausea remains a major unmet medical need in cancer patients. Further research should focus on treatment of nausea and patient's risk factors, as well as quality of life.

References

- 1. Andrews PLR & Sanger GJ Nausea and the quest for the perfect anti-emetic. European journal of pharmacology 2014; 722:108-121.
- 2. Pirri C, Bayliss E, Trotter J, et al. Nausea still the poor relation in antiemetic therapy? The impact on cancer patients' quality of life and psychological adjustment of nausea, vomiting and appetite loss, individually and concurrently as part of a symptom cluster. Supportive care in cancer 2013; 21: 735-
- 3. Sommariva S, Pongiglione B & Tarricone R Impact of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting on health-related quality of life and resource utilisation: a systematic review. Critical reviews in oncology/haematology 2016; 99:13-36.
- I. Jordan K, Gralla R, Jahn F et al. International antiemetic guidelines on chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV): content and implementation in daily routine practice. European journal of pharmacology 2014; 722:197-202.
- 5. Jordan K, Jahn F, Aapro M. Recent developments in the prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV): a comprehensive review. Annals of Oncology 2015; 6:1081-1090.
- 5. Gillmore JW, Peacock NW, Gu A, et al. Antiemetic guideline consistency and incidence of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in US community oncology practice: INSPIRE study. Journal of oncology practice 2014; 10: 68-74.
- 7. Roila F, Molassiotis A, Herrstedt J, et al, MASCC and ESMO guideline update for the prevention of chemotherapy- and radiotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting and of nausea and vomiting in advanced cancer patients. Annals of Oncology 2016; 27: 119-133.
- 8. Brink H, van der Walt C, van Rensburg G. Fundamentals of research methodology for healthcare professionals. Juta, Cape Town, 2012.
- 9. Howell D, Fitch M, Bakker D et al. Core domains for a person-focused outcome measurement system in cancer (PROMS-Cancer Core) for routine care: a scoping review and Canadian Delphi consensus. Value in health, 2013; 1: 76-87.